



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

*[Handwritten signature]*

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/804,004	03/19/2004	Makoto Kudo	118768	6984
25944	7590	06/06/2007	EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			CONNOLLY, MARK A	
P.O. BOX 19928			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			2115	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/06/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/804,004	KUDO, MAKOTO	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Mark Connolly	2115	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 April 2007.
- 2a) This action is FINAL.                    2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.
  - 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
  - a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:
    1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
    2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
    3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)          | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.                                     |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.   | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____.                         |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-11 have been presented for examination.
2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-11 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103*

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.
4. Claims 1, 3-4, 6-9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haban US Pat No 6779125 in view of Hadji<sup>1</sup>.
5. Referring to claim 1, Haban teaches the semiconductor device that accesses at least one semiconductor medium comprising:
  - a. a bus master [col. 4 lines 35-37].
  - b. a bus interfaces that control access to the at least one semiconductor storage medium based on request for access to the at least one semiconductor storage medium from the bus master [108-109 fig. 1 and col. 4 lines 35-39]. Because access to memory is common, the destination is interpreted as comprising a semiconductor storage medium such as SDRAM 108 or SRAM/FLASH/ROM/PCMCIA 109.
  - c. the at least one semiconductor storage medium including a plurality of semiconductor storage media [fig. 1]. The SDRAM and SRAM are interpreted as the at

least one semiconductor storage medium comprising a plurality of semiconductor storage media.

Although Haban teaches the semiconductor device substantially as claimed above, Haban does not explicitly teach a single bus interface comprising a plurality of dedicated bus interfaces that each correspond to one of the semiconductor storage media and a clock-supply-control circuit that controls the presence of the supply of a clock to the bus interface based on access state information that indicates a state of access to the at least one semiconductor storage medium, the clock-supply-control circuit including a circuit, the circuit implementing at least one control for stopping the supply of the clock to the bus interface if the circuit determines that access is not in execution, and control for supplying the clock to the bus interface if the circuit determines that access is in execution, based on the access state information.

Hadji teaches:

d. the bus interface including a plurality of dedicated bus interfaces that each correspond to a certain one of the semiconductor storage media [abstract, fig. 2, col. 3 lines 35-39 and col. 4 lines 6-12, 34-43]. In particular, bus interface 108 comprises a plurality of buffers 214, 216, 218 and 220 in which each separately interface components with data bus 101. The separate interfaces are interpreted as dedicated bus interfaces corresponding to a certain one of the semiconductor storage media (i.e. buffers 214, 216, 218 and 220).

e. a clock-supply-control circuit that controls the presence of the supply of a clock to the bus interface based on access state information that indicates a state of access to the at

---

<sup>1</sup> As cited in the previous office action.

least one semiconductor storage medium, the clock-supply-control circuit including a circuit, the circuit implementing at least one control for stopping the supply of the clock to the bus interface if the circuit determines that access is not in execution, and control for supplying the clock to the bus interface if the circuit determines that access is in execution, based on the access state information [204 fig. 2, col. 2 lines 22-27 and col. 4 lines 33-43].

It would have been obvious to include the interfaces taught by Haban into an interface as taught by Hadji because it would provide a means to reduce the power consumption of the bus interfaces during periods of inactivity in the Haban system as suggested by Hadji [abstract and col. 1 lines 32-36].

6. Referring to claim 2, the Haban-Hadji system teaches:
  - f. a common bus interface that implements operation required for access control when access to any of the semiconductor storage media is in execution [*Hadji*: interface 108 comprising the clocking units is interpreted as the common bus interface].
  - g. the dedicated bus interfaces each implement operation required for access control only when access to the certain one of the semiconductor storage media is in execution [*Haban*:108-109 fig. 1 and col. 15 line 62 and col. 16 line 5].
  - h. the clock-supply-control circuit detects any of the semiconductor storage media that is other than any of the semiconductor storage media that is to be accessed based on accessed-medium information indicating which semiconductor storage medium is to be accessed, and controls so as to stop the supply of the clock to any of the dedicated bus interfaces for the any of the semiconductor storage media that is other than the any of the

semiconductor storage media that is to be accessed and supply the clock to any of the dedicated bus interfaces for the any of the semiconductor storage media that is to be accessed [*Hadji*: abstract].

7. Referring to claim 3, Hadji teaches outputting signals from clock control unit 202 within the bus interface, representative of which clocks to disable [col. 4 lines 33-43]. Each output signal is interpreted as a valid signal.
8. Referring to claims 4-11, these are rejected on the same basis as set forth hereinabove. Hadji teaches a computer system and therefore inherently teaches an input and output device for receiving, processing and outputting information.

9. Claims 1, 3-4, 6-9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hadji in view of Micron, HIGH PERFORMANCE WITH SDRAM MODULES.

10. Referring to claim 1, Hadji teaches the invention substantially including:
  - i. a bus interface that controls access to at least one semiconductor storage medium based on request for access to the at least one semiconductor storage medium, the bus interface including a plurality of dedicated bus interfaces that each correspond to a certain one of the semiconductor storage media [abstract, fig. 2, col. 3 lines 35-39 and col. 4 lines 6-12, 34-43]. In particular, bus interface 108 comprises a plurality of buffers 214, 216, 218 and 220 in which each separately interface the system memory 206 or CPU 102 with data bus 101. The separate interfaces are interpreted as dedicated bus interfaces corresponding to a certain one of the semiconductor storage media (i.e. buffers 214, 216, 218 and 220).

j. a clock-supply-control circuit that controls the presence of the supply of a clock to the bus interface based on access state information that indicates a state of access to the at least one semiconductor storage medium, the clock-supply-control circuit including a circuit, the circuit implementing at least one control for stopping the supply of the clock to the bus interface if the circuit determines that access is not in execution, and control for supplying the clock to the bus interface if the circuit determines that access is in execution, based on the access state information [204 fig. 2, col. 2 lines 22-27 and col. 4 lines 33-43].

Although Hadji teaches the invention as claimed above, there is no teaching that the bus interface controls access to the memory based on a request for access by a bus master. Bus masters are well known in the art and are required to control bus access so that multiple devices (like peripherals 110, 112, 114 and 116 or memory devices 214, 216, 218 and 220) do not attempt to communicate over the same bus (data bus 101) at the same time. It is obvious that the Hadji system comprise a bus master for requesting access to the data bus in order to avoid multiple accesses to the data bus thereby preventing any data corruption which would occur from multiple devices writing to the data bus at the same time.

Lastly, Hadji teaches having at least one system memory (i.e. semiconductor storage medium), but does not explicitly teach that the system memory includes a plurality of semiconductor storage media. Micron teaches that system memory such as SDRAM comprise a plurality of semiconductor storage media [Table 1 and Fig. 1]. For example, Micron teaches that in a 16 Meg memory, the memory will comprise 16 x 1 Meg memory modules, 8 x 2 Meg memory modules or 4 x 4 Meg memory modules. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary

skill in the art if not inherent that the system memory in the Hadji system would comprise a plurality of memory modules (interpreted as a plurality of semiconductor storage media) or at least be compatible to use such a memory because system memory such as SDRAM designed by different manufacturers such as Micron use a plurality of memory modules.

As recited above, the Hadji-Micron system teaches a plurality of bus interfaces (buffers 214 and 216) providing an interface between the system memory and data bus 101, and because the system memory comprises a plurality of memory modules, it is interpreted that buffers 214 and 216, because they correspond to the system memory, also correspond to each one of the memory modules in the system memory.

11. Referring to claim 3, Hadji teaches outputting signals from clock control unit 202 within the bus interface, representative of which clocks to disable [col. 4 lines 33-43]. Each output signal is interpreted as a valid signal.

12. Referring to claims 4, 6-9 and 11, these are rejected on the same basis as set forth hereinabove. Hadji teaches a computer system and therefore inherently teaches an input and output device for receiving, processing and outputting information.

### *Conclusion*

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Connolly whose telephone number is (571) 272-3666. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8AM-5PM (except every first Friday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas C. Lee can be reached on (571) 272-3667. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2115

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Mark Connolly  
Examiner  
Art Unit 2115

mc  
May 30, 2007

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Mark Connolly".